

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,480	10/05/2001	Bernard J. Albanese	8085-007	5199
20583	7590 03/11/2003			
	ID EDMONDS		EXAMI	NER
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100362711			KAZIMI, HANI M	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	·
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	<i>&gt;</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/972,480	ALBANESE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hani Kazimi	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 C</u>	October 2001 .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)🖂 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial Number: 09/972,480 2

Art Unit: 3624

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. This application has been reviewed. Original claim 1 is pending. The objections and

rejections cited are as stated below:

**Specification** 

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because, it exceeds the limit which is 150

words in length. Corrections are required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. 3.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a

separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist

readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The

language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It

should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The

disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Appropriate correction is

required.

Serial Number: 09/972,480 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999

(AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was

not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b).

Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the

AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rickard et al. (U.S.

Patent No. 6,016,483).

Rickard teaches a method of trading investments relating to an underlying security

comprising the steps of creating an up instrument, and creating a down instrument as indicated in

steps (a), and (b), calculating an opening sale price for the instruments, and recalculating the sale

price for both instruments based at least in part on movement of the price of the underlying

security (abstract, and column 6, line 10 thru column 7, line 47).

Serial Number: 09/972,480 4

Art Unit: 3624

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687 or 7658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 1114.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 3624

March 10, 2003